V

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1937. (Law)

File No. 130-37

Serial Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7

PRESERVED

Letter References

PP Dept 7057/38

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for March 1938.

SUBJECT.

Withdrawal of Cases against persons concerned in the Barpeta Disturbances of October 1937

B.S.C. 9/37-38. (Moonmah & others)

SL-5

To The D.I.G. of Police
" D.M. Barpeta
" D.I.G. of Police
" Registrar H.C.

WD 0295—GPB—22,500—18-8-37 No. 5091-94 & 10-3-38

Directs the remission of the punishment (sentence of fine or imprisonment or both) in the case of the persons mentioned in the list.

20-5-38

Withdrawal of pending cases (1
and release of political prisoners.

General and Revenue Secretariat Law File No. 130 of 1937. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Dewan's Instructions.

1) In view of the fact that recently persons convicted of political activities have been released and that the pending cases of a similar nature against others have been withdrawn, the Dewan was pleased to tell me that the same concession should be extended to pending rioting cases connected with the recent Bangalore Disturbances. He accordingly told me that the District Magistrate, Bangalore District, may be instructed to withdraw the rioting cases connected with the recent Bangalore Disturbances of Oct. 1937 and now pending before the City Magistrate, Bangalore and that

2) (2) in regard to rioting cases arising out of these disturbances in which some ~~people~~ persons have been convicted by the Sessions Judge and in which ~~the~~ an appeal is pending before the High Court the question of remission of sentence against the accused may be examined.

MK 7.3.38

3) A draft is submitted for approval regarding withdrawal of pending Cases

4) Regarding the remission of the sentence I have submitted a note on the next page & Consulted the Govt Advocate this morning about the legality of withdrawal remission & sentences.

H.K.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-9-37

5231/37
P

(2

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

SECOND MEMBER'S OFFICIAL
9 MAR 1938
No. 6632

DEWAN'S OFFICE
8 MAR. 1938
No. 6119

when the appeal is pend 9. He said that he cannot proceed now & that he wants time for 2 days. time

Chief Secy) 8.3

5) (1) Draft letter to the St. might be approved.

(2) Remission of Sentences on convicted persons in the first 3 the three cases may be ordered if the appeal filed in the High Court is withdrawn. I understand that the parties are prepared to withdraw the appeal if the remission of Sentences is ordered.

8.3.38

1st Member
Dewan

6) I agree 8.3

8/3

8.3.

8-3-38

7) issue order 8/ 8.3.

8) submitted for perusal.
2nd member)

9.3.

10/3/38

Issued Vide P 5073 d 8-3-38

5231
5286 V 5287

(3

General and Revenue Secretariat (Law) File No. 180 of 1937 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

9) Mr S. Renganatha Pattiah Advocate for the accused in the above appeal now pending before the High Court has filed a memo stating that he is withdrawing the appeal and the Vakalat on behalf of the Appellants

10) Later he endorses on his memo that the appeal has been withdrawn & that it has been dismissed

11) No note in para 5 page ante regarding the remission of the sentence in the case of 9 accused under Sec 401 9 accused is put up. and is approved by the Chief Secy

ending by 10.3

Issued. No. P 5091-94 & 10.3.

From the Pptr, Bellary.
letter No 1798/37-8 dated 9-3-38
States that all the pending cases in Connection with the B-lore Disturbances have been withdrawn.

The Dy Commr B-lore Distt
D.O letter No J.I. CC. 16/37-8 dt 9.3.38
Solicits instructions re the conduct of the appeal filed by the accd in B.S.C. No 9/37 before the High court—

(1) Gen & Secy's note on P.U. D I "Under what instructions
(2) Chief Secy endorsed on P.U. D II "Communicated recent developments in this matter"

Dft letter to D.C. B-lore as per orders of the Ch. Secy
The file will be subd to Genl Secy for perusal after issue of the letter

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-2-37

Cr. 11/3/38

Withdrawal of pending cases — in connection with the Black Disturbance of Oct 1937. & the remission of Sentences — in D&C 9/37-8

5187

(4)

File No. 130 of 1937

General and Revenue Secretariat

Kind reference is invited to paragraphs 1 to 6

BKR
11-3-38

Gen. Secy

Secy
11/3/38

BKR
11/3

The reference was made as the copy of the order remitting the sentence has been communicated to me. He had the D.M. now seen me this morning & I have told him also about this letter

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
11 MAR 1938
5188

B
12/3/38

(5

Serial No.

Under Sec.401 of the Criminal Procedure Code Government have power to remit the punishment inflicted by a Criminal Court on any person at any time. This presupposes that a sentence of conviction is in force and that the person affected is guilty of an offence. Remission of sentence does not amount to an acquittal In the present case the persons convicted by the Session Judge have preferred an appeal alleging that they are not guilty of the offence for which they have been stentenced. When the question of guilt is pending before the High Court and the matter is sub judice, if the sentence is remitted now, the accused will be deprived of the opportunity of establishing their innocence and how the appeal has to be disposed of at that stage will then arise. The accused may yet press the appeal and the High Court is bound to consider whether the conviction is valid or not. This difficulty would be solved if the accused themselves withdraw the appeal. Then the Govt. can easily remit the punishment as in other cases. It may also be pointed out that there has been a divergence of opinion with regard to the stage in which an appeal can be withdrawn. The Calcutta High Court is of the view that the power of withdrawal cannot be exercised after the appeal is admitted - Sec. 6 Cal. L.R. P.427.

But on the other hand the view taken in Crl.L.J. 751 by the Judicial Commissioner C.P. is that an appeal can be withdrawn at any stage before the judgment is pronounced.

There may not be much difficulty in the accused

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-3-37

(b

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

exercising their rights of withdrawal of appeal.

It may not be expedient to remit the sentence when the appeal is pending. ~~while the appeal is pending~~

8/8-3

(7

S-287
S-320
P

K. T. BHASHYAM IYANGAR, B.A., B.L.,
AND
M. SESHADRI, B.A., LL.B.,
ADVOCATES

COTTONPET.
BANGALORE CITY.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
12 MAR. 1938
6236

10th March 1938.

The Chief Secretary to
the Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

CHIEF SECRETARY
11 MAR 1938
GOVT. OF MYSORE

Dear Sir,

I wish to convey to you in writing my very grateful thanks for the kindness and expedition with which you have secured orders of Government withdrawing the prosecutions in the four pending cases in connection with the Bangalore disturbances of the 24th October 1937. I am arranging for the withdrawl of the appeals before the High Court and hope the Government will be so good as to remit the sentences. These orders will naturally create a very favourable atmosphere in the country for peace and harmony in public life and I hope they will be the first of a series of similar helpful orders towards the raprochment between the Government and the people.

Thanking you once again,

I beg to remain,
Yours sincerely,
K. T. Bhashyam

For perusal.
Dewan)
MS 11/3
11/3

P.T. I am glad to hear that the appeals having been withdrawn the order remitting sentences takes effect K.S.R. 11.3.38.

MS 12-3-38
MS 12/3
14-3

5-320 \ 5435 23 7 46

In repaying please quote

No. G.56.4-176 Enc.

Office of the Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore

Bangalore, dated the 14th March *1938*

Law 130-37-7

FROM

SASTRA VAIDYA PRAVINA

S. SUBBA RAO Esqr., B.A., M.B.C.M., M.R.C.S., (ENG.) L.R.C.P., D.P.H.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

CHIEF SECRETARY Law 17 MAR. 1938 GOVT. OF MYSORE

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to state that it is reported by the Superintendent of the Bangalore Central Jail that all the 9 prisoners referred to in the Government order had already been released on 25th Feb.38 on executing a personal recognisance bond each for Rs.200/- as per order No.2332-34/E.B. dated 25-2-38 received from the High Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Subbarao

Inspector General of Prisons.

Submitted for perusal

18.3

18/3/38

18/3/38

(4

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

5231/5435

In all future communications please quote—
No. J.L.C.C. 16/37-38.
Enc.

5494/937

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated Bangalore, the 18th March *193*8.

Law 1303/37

FROM

H. V. Ramasvami, Esq., M.A.,B.L.,
District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

To Prot

B. T. KESAVAIENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:—

Flag M.

With reference to your D.O. letter No.P.5073 dated 8th March 1938, directing the withdrawal of the pending cases connected with the Bangalore Disturbances of October 1937, I have the honour to state that all the five cases pending on the file of the City Magistrate's Court, are reported to have been withdrawn.

Submitted for perusal.
21/3

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

SVS. 18-3-38. File. Regd and B 22-3-38

22-3

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-9-37

(10

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Cr. App. No. 31 of 37-38.

Appellents:-	Respondents:-
Monniah and others.	Govt. of Mysore.

Application of Appellents under Sec.561-A. Cr.P.C.

***

The Appellents beg to state as follows:-

1. The Government of Mysore have been pleased to withdraw recently the prosecution in C.C. Nos. 2, 3, 3A, 3B, and 3C of 1937-38 on the file of the Temporary Special I Class Magistrate, which subsequently came to the file of the City Magistrate, Bangalore.

2. These cases were intimately connected with the subject matter of the present appeal; and the appellants have reason to believe that the prosecution out of which the present appeal arises, would have also been withdrawn, but for the technical difficulty that the stage for withdrawal has passed as section 494 of Cr.Procedure Code has no application to appeals.

3. In order to meet the exigencies of the situation thus arising, the appellants pray for permission of this Hon'ble Court to withdraw this appeal

Bangalore City,
Dated 10th March 1938.

(4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Cr. App. No. 31 of 37-38.

Appellents:-
Monniah and others.

Respondents:-
Govt. of Mysore.

Application of Appellents under Sec.561-A. Cr.P.C.

***

The Appellents beg to state as follows:-

1. The Government of Mysore have been pleased to withdraw recently the prosecution in C.C. Nos. 2, 3, 3A, 3B, and 3C of 1937-38 on the file of the Temporary Special I Class Magistrate, which subsequently came to the file of the City Magistrate, Bangalore.

2. These cases were intimately connected with the subject matter of the present appeal; and the appellants have reason to believe that the prosecution out of which the present appeal arises, would have also been withdrawn, but for the technical difficulty that the stage for withdrawal has passed as section 494 of Cr.Procedure Code has no application to appeals.

3. In order to meet the exigencies of the situation thus arising, the appellants pray for permission of this Hon'ble Court to withdraw this appeal.

Bangalore City,
Dated 10th March 1938.

12

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Cr. P. No. 31 of 37-38.

APPELLANTS:-

1. C. B. Somniah,
2. M. Rama Murthy,
3. A. Laxminarasimiah,
4. L. Shivakumar,
5. C. Krishnappa,
6. D. M. Deenappa,
7. G. R.Swami,
8. S. N. Gupta,
9. K. K. Srikantiah,

-accused 1 to 9 respectively and are in duress in Central Jail, Bangalore.

-:VC:-

RESPONDENT:-

Government of Mysore.

Under S. 426 Cr. P. C.

The appellants pray that they may be enlarged on bail pending disposal of the appeal for the following reasons:-

1. The appellants 1, 2 and 7 have been convicted and sentenced to 3 months' R. I. and the rest to 6 months' R. I. for an offence under Section 147 I. P. C.

2. The appellants ~~xxxx~~ were on bail in the Sessions Court and the conviction

(17)

in the said Court is for an offence under S. 147 I. P. C., which is bailable.

3. They have all been acquitted for offences under Ss. 151, 145 and 333 read with 149 I. P. C.

4. The appellants are all respectable people.

5. They have very good grounds to urge in this appeal for setting aside the conviction and sentence.

6. The appellants are prepared to furnish substantial security to the satisfaction of the City Magistrate, Bangalore by which Court they were committed to Sessions Court.

Coope & Co

ADVOCATES FOR APPELLANTS.

Bangalore,
25th Feb. 1938.

(14

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Cr. P. No. of 37-38.

APPELLANTS:-

1. C. B. Monniah,
2. M. Rama Murthy,
3. A. Laxminarasimiah,
4. L. Shimakumar,
5. C. Krishnappa,
6. B. M. Seenappa,
7. G. R.Swami,
8. S. N. Gupta,
9. K. R. Srikantiah,

-accused 1 to 9 respectively and are in duress in Central Jail, Bangalore.

-:VS:-

RESPONDENT:-

Government of Mysore.

Under S. 426 Cr. P. C.

The appellants pray that they may be enlarged on bail pending disposal of the appeal for the following reasons:-

1. The appellants 1, 2 and 7 have been convicted and sentenced to 3 months' R. I. and the rest to 6 months' R. I. for an offence under Section 147 I. P. C.

2. The appellants were on bail in the Sessions Court and the conviction

(15

in the said Court is for an offence under S. 147 I. P. C., which is bailable.

3. They have all been acquitted for offences under ss. 151, 145 and 555 read with 149 I. P. C.

4. The appellants are all respectable people.

5. They have very good grounds to urge in this appeal for setting aside the conviction and sentence.

6. The appellants are prepared to furnish substantial security to the satisfaction of the City Magistrate, Bangalore by which Court they were committed to Sessions Court.

Teape & Co

ADVOCATES FOR APPELLANTS.

Bangalore, ——

25th Feb. 1938.

(16

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Cr. A. No. of 37-38.

APPELLANTS:-

1. C. B. Monniah,
2. M. Rama Murthy,
3. A. Laxminarasimiah,
4. L. Bhimakumar,
5. C. Krishnappa,
6. B. M. Seenappa,
7. G. R. Swami,
8. S. N. Gupta,
9. K. R. Srikantiah,

-accused 1 to 9 respective,

The appellants are in duress in Central Jail, Bangalore.

-:VS:-

RESPONDENT:-

Government of Mysore.

Under S. 410 Cr. P. C.

The appellants pray that the records in Bangalore Sessions Case No. 9 of 37-38 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Bangalore be sent for and the conviction and sentence of 3 months Igorous impressionment on appellants 1, 2 and 7 and the rest to 6 months R. I. under Section 147 I. P. C., be set aside for the following among other grounds:-

(17

1. The conviction and sentences are bad in Law and on facts.

2. No common object as set out in the charge has been brought home to the accused.

3. The conviction and sentence is against the weight of evidence and probabilities of the case.

4. The sentence is severe

(The appellant pray that they may be allowed to submit detailed and further grounds of appeal after a copy of the judgment is received from the Sessions Court as the present petition is made for the purposes of getting the appellants enlarged on bail).

ADVOCATES FOR APPELLANTS.

Bangalore,
25th Feb. 1938.

(18

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Cr. A. No. of 37-38.

APPELLANTS:-

1. C. B. Monniah,
2. M. Rama Murthy,
3. A. Laxminarasimiah,
4. L. Bhimakumar,
5. C. Krishnappa,
6. B. M. Seemappa,
7. G. R. Swami,
8. S. N. Gupta,
9. K. K. Srikantiah,

-accused 1 to 9 respective,

The appellants are in duress in Central Jail, Bangalore.

-:VS:-

RESPONDENT:-

Government of Mysore.

Under S. 410 Cr. P. C.

The appellants pray that the records in Bangalore Sessions Case No. 9 of 37-38 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Bangalore be sent for and the conviction and sentence of 3 months Igorous imprisonment or appellants 1, 2 and 7 and the rest to 6 months R. I. under Section 147 I. P. C., be set aside for the following among other grounds:-

(19

1. The conviction and sentences are bad in Law and on facts.

2. No common object as set out in the charge has been brought home to the accused.

3. The conviction and sentence is against the weight of evidence and probabilities of the case.

4. The sentence is severe

(The appellant pray that they may be allowed to submit detailed and further grounds of appeal after a copy of the judgment is received from the Sessions Court as the present petition is made for the purposes of getting the appellants enlarged on bail)

Copies
S/S

ADVOCATES FOR APPELLANTS.

Bangalore,
25th Feb. 1938.

Home 5073
Law 130 37 - A

8th March 38

Government consider that under the present circumstances the several rioting cases connected with the Bangalore Disturbances of Oct. 1937 now pending before the City Magistrate, Bangalore, may be withdrawn. I am accordingly to request you to kindly take immediate steps for arranging to withdraw from the prosecutions connected with such cases under Section 494 Cr. Procedure Code and favour Government with a report of the action taken.

H. V. Ramasvami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate, Bangalore District.

(21

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

O R D E R No. P 5091-97 dow 130-37-S

Dated Bangalore the 10th March 1938.

Under Section 401 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Government are pleased to remit the unexpired portion of the punishment (sentence of imprisonment or fine or both) in the case of the persons mentioned in the accompanying statement ~~who are under-going sentences and direct that they be released forthwith~~ who have been convicted in the Bangalore Sessions Case No 9 37-38.

Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.
The District Magistrate, Bangalore.
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
The Registrar, High Court of Mysore.

c.n.5

22

Statement showing the names of persons.

1. C.B.Monniya.
2. M.S.Ramamurthi.
3. A.Lakshminarasimhaiya.
4. Lakshmikantha K.Bheemakumar.
5. C. Krishnappa.
6. B.M.Seenappa.
7. G.R.Swamy.
8. S.N.Gupta.
9. K.R.Sreekantiah.

— accused 1 to 9 respectively in Bangalore Sessions case No 9 of 1937-38

For Chief Secretary to Government.

23

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Cr. App. No. 31 of 37-38.

Appellents:- Respondents:-

Monniah and others. Govt. of Mysore.

Application of Appellents under Sec.561-A. Cr.P.C.

The Appellents beg to state as follows:-

1. The Government of Mysore have been pleased to withdraw recently the prosecution in C.C. Nos. 2, 3, 3A, 3B, and 3C of 1937-38 on the file of the Temporary Special I Class Magistrate, which subsequently came to the file of the City Magistrate, Bangalore.

2. These cases were intimately connected with the subject matter of the present appeal; and the appellants have reason to believe that the prosecution out of which the present appeal arises, would have also been withdrawn, but for the technical difficulty that the stage for withdrawal has passed as section 494 of Cr.Procedure Code has no application to appeals.

3. In order to meet the exigencies of the situation thus arising, the appellants pray for permission of this Hon'ble Court to withdraw this appeal.

(Sd). S. Venkatapathaiya
P. Rajarathnam
V. K. Govindarajaiah.
Advs. for appellants

Bangalore City,
Dated 10th March 1938.

The original Petition will be filed in the High Court
order/leave (P.T.O.) S. Venkatapathaiya 10-3-38

The application was heard at 2 P.M. this day by Chief Justice and Abdul Rahman and the following order was passed:—

"The appeal is not pressed and is dismissed"—

Dr. Adv. No. 31 of 1-38

Venkatapathaya
Advocate
10-8-1938

Advocates

Kumaran and others

Petitioners

Application for Appellate Order under Reg. Dept. Cir. B.C.

P. C.

The appellants were examined on their affirmation and they confirm the statement

The petitioners by their Advocate have been pleased to withdraw recently the prosecution in Cr. Nos. 2, 3, 27, 28, and 30 of 1937-38 on the file of the Temporary Special I Class Magistrate, which subsequently came to the file of the City Magistrate, Nagapatnam.

2. These cases were intimately connected with the subject matter of the present appeal and the appellants have treas
o believe that the prosecution out of which the present ap
ppeal would have also been withdrawn, but for the technic
ALITY that the stage for withdrawal has passed as
section 494 of Criminal Code has no application to
appeals.

3. In order to meet the exigencies of the situation dis
closing, the appellants pray for permission of this Hon'ble
Court to withdraw this appeal

Dated 30th March 1938
Bangalore City.

(25

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read -

ORDER No. P.5091-94 Law180 37-5

Dated, Bangalore, 10th March 1938.

Under Section 401 of the Criminal Procedure Code, Government are pleased to remit the unexpired portion of the punishment (sentence of imprisonment or fine or both) in the case of the persons mentioned in the accompanying statement who have been convicted in the Bangalore Sessions Case No.9/37-38.

For Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore,
The District Magistrate, Bangalore,
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore,
The Registrar, High Court of Mysore.

26

Statement showing the names of persons.

.....

1. C.B. Monniya.
2. M.S. Ramamurthi.
3. A. Lakshminarasimhaiya.
4. Lakshmikantha Bheemakumar.
5. C. Krishnappa.
6. B.M. Seenappa.
7. G.R. Swamy.
8. S.N. Gupta.
9. K.R. Sreekantiah.

Accused to 1 to 9 respectively in Bangalore Sessions Case No.9 of 1937-38.

For Chief Secretary to Government.

Mr.H.V. Ramasvami,M.A.,B.L.,
~~MY.V.XXXEDAVVASXCHARVAX~~
Deputy Commissioner

S 287 1937

27

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE,

(IMMEDIATE & CONFIDENTIAL)
D. O. J1.C.C.16/37-38.

Dated.....the..9th.March...1938.

Dear Mr. Kesavaiengar,

I write to state that a Notice of Appea has been received by me from the Government Advocate, requesting instructions in connection with the Criminal Appeal now pending before the High Court. The Appeal is preferred by C.B. Monniah and 8 others, accused in the Bangalore Sessions Case No.9/37-38, against the convictior and sentence passed by the Sessions Judge, Bangalore, in the disturbance case which was very recently disposed of by him. The case relates to incidents that took place immediately after the arrest of Mr. K. F. Nariman on the evening of 24th October 1937. In view of the D.O.letter No.P.5073 dated 8th March 1938, directing the withdrawal of pending cases connected with the Bangalore Disturbances of October 1937, I request the favour of instructions that have to be communicated to the Government Advocate regarding the conduct of the Criminal Appeal that is now pending before the High Court and which stands posted to the 21st for hearing.

Yours sincerely,

B. T. KESAVAIENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E .

28

1798/37—38. 5286 q 37

Office of the Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore. 9th, March 1938.

Law 130-3-6

From

G.S.Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Public Prosecutor,

Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,

Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
10 MAR 1938

Sir,

Re:- C.C. 3 (a) 3 , 3 (b), 3(c). Bangalore Disturbence Cases on the file of the Spl First Class Magistrate, Bangalore. re 2 q 37/38

I have the Honour to state that the above cases were withdrawn today and all the accused were acquitted in C.C. 3, 3(a), 3(b), 3 (c) and the accused in C.C. 2 were discharged.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.